

# Municipal Sewage COVID-19 Testing: A Much Needed Public Health Community Prevention Intervention

The American Surgeon  
00(0) 1–2  
© The Author(s) 2020  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-permissions  
DOI: 10.1177/0003134820951428  
journals.sagepub.com/home/asu  


As of July 17, 2020, a total of 13 926 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have been confirmed with 593 209 deaths worldwide.<sup>1</sup> The actual number of infected population is likely much larger. For example, a study of antibody seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, CA, USA, estimated the percentage of those infected with COVID-19 to be in the range of 2.49%–4.16%, translating to a 50-fold to 85-fold increase in comparison to confirmed cases.<sup>2</sup> Similarly, another study examining antibody seroprevalence in Los Angeles County estimated that 4.65% of the county population is infected, 43-fold larger than confirmed cases.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with these findings, a study in China estimated that undocumented, asymptomatic patients comprise 79% of all COVID-19 cases.<sup>4</sup> These results implicate a major risk of transmission from asymptomatic individuals. Mass testing and surveillance offers a method of surveillance and provides epidemiologists with information about hot spots and impending outbreaks.

Two major forms of COVID-19 testing are testing for viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) and serologic testing for antibodies. The RNA test is performed via polymerase chain reaction and informs whether the patient currently has an infection.<sup>5,6</sup> The serologic test evaluates whether antibodies to the causative agent, SARS-CoV-2, are present, indicating a previous infection with the virus.<sup>6</sup> A drawback to this test is the lag between symptoms and antibody formation, usually 12 days.<sup>7</sup> The lag between infection and symptoms, in those with symptoms, causes the COVID-19 case prevalence estimations to lag behind the true disease burden. Thus, a method of testing and surveillance that can provide real-time estimations of the cases is warranted.

SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding in the stool has been described in a variety of studies<sup>8–12</sup> and demonstrated in municipal sewage.<sup>13–15</sup> A study of municipal sewage in Connecticut found that viral RNA concentrations were detectable in all samples and correlated with the COVID-19 cases and local hospital admissions.<sup>13</sup> Moreover, the study found that wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations indicated an outbreak 7 days ahead of COVID-19 testing data and 3 days ahead of local hospital admissions.<sup>13</sup> Testing municipal wastewater has the potential to detect early signs of an outbreak and provide early interventions to mitigate the spread of infection.

Furthermore, the rate at which SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater change has the potential to predict an increase/ decline in COVID-19 cases. For example, the number of patients admitted to Yale New Haven Hospital changed more slowly than the local wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA.<sup>13</sup> Rapid increases in wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations may serve as a warning of an impending COVID-19 case surge and allow the hospital to apply early interventions in order to reserve PPE and hospital resources consumed when managing these patients. Conversely, the decline in wastewater RNA concentrations could provide a signal that infections are waning and aid in the decision to ease restrictions.<sup>14</sup> In addition, maintaining an accurate picture of the infection in real time may conserve hospital resources. Throughout the pandemic, there has been a shortage of health care personnel, personal protective equipment, and ventilators.<sup>15</sup> The allocation of these resources to a region with a predicted outbreak may prove an effective measure to reduce the stocking of medical supplies in an area with a low risk of an outbreak.

Finally, engaging in testing at the community level may have additional benefits. First, the privacy of the patient is preserved, while still obtaining valuable information about the status of local outbreaks. Second, wastewater treatment plants are becoming a growing standard in urban areas throughout much of the world.<sup>16</sup> This makes wastewater testing applicable in regions where clinical capacity is limited. Third, the high demand for COVID-19 testing reagents is putting them at a global shortage.<sup>17</sup> Allocation of COVID-19 tests to areas with increasing wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA may preserve testing supplies and allow for prompt use in areas of high disease burden.

There is still much to learn about the prospect of testing wastewater, but the evidence presented provides a strong argument for the quantitative monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in municipal wastewater. Monitoring wastewater RNA concentrations has the potential to serve as an early warning system to alert epidemiologists to enact appropriate public health measures prior to an outbreak and may also serve as an additional measure to ease restrictions if concentrations decrease. The United States has experienced the consequences of merely reacting to a

surge of cases, while other countries remain largely unaffected due to mass testing and surveillance. At a time when surveillance is critical, it would seem that wastewater testing is an option worth exploring. Diverting additional research efforts into wastewater testing is a realistic option that may be the advantage we need to minimize negative outcomes and put us back on track to a better tomorrow.

Brendon Sen-Crowe  
Dessy Boneva, MD, FACS  
Adel Elkbuli, MD, MPH

*Department of Surgery, Division of Trauma and Surgical Critical Care, Kendall Regional Medical Center, Miami, FL, USA*

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### References

1. COVID-19 Map. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Published January 22, 2020. Accessed July 17, 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>
2. Bendavid E. COVID-19 antibody seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California. *medRxiv*. 2020;04(14):20062463. doi:10.1101/2020.04.14.20062463
3. Sood N, Simon P, Ebner P, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2-Specific antibodies among adults in Los Angeles County, California, on April 10-11, 2020. *JAMA*. 2020;323(23):2425-2427. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.8279
4. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, et al. Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). *Science*. 2020;368(6490):489-493. doi:10.1126/science.abb3221
5. Sen-Crowe B, McKenney M, Elkbuli A. COVID-19 laboratory testing issues and capacities as we transition to surveillance testing and contact tracing. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020. Published online May 27, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.05.071.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Testing data in the U.S Centers for disease control and prevention. Updated June 12th, 2020. Accessed June 14, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/testing-in-us.html>
7. Abbasi J. The promise and peril of antibody testing for COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020;323(19):1881-1883. Online ahead of print. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.6170.
8. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature*. 2020;581(7809):465-469. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x
9. Wong MC, Huang J, Lai C, Ng R, Chan FKL, Chan PKS. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in fecal specimens of patients with confirmed COVID-19: a meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2020;81(2):e31-e38. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.06.012
10. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. *JAMA*. 2020;323(18):1843-1844. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3786
11. Zhang J, Wang S, Xue Y. Fecal specimen diagnosis 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92(6):680-682. Epub 2020 Mar 12. doi:10.1002/jmv.25742.
12. Xu Y, Li X, Zhu B, et al. Characteristics of pediatric SARS-CoV-2 infection and potential evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(4):502-505. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0817-4
13. Peccia J, Zulli A, Brackney DE, et al. SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in primary municipal sewage sludge as a leading indicator of COVID-19 outbreak dynamics. *medRxiv*. 2020.
14. Pöyry T, Stenvik M, Hovi T. Viruses in sewage waters during and after a poliomyelitis outbreak and subsequent nationwide oral poliovirus vaccination campaign in Finland. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1988;54(2):371-374. doi:10.1128/AEM.54.2.371-374.1988
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Strategies to optimize the supply of PPE and equipment. May 18, 2020. Accessed June 14, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/ppe-strategy/index.html>
16. Zhang QH, Yang WN, Ngo HH, et al. Current status of urban wastewater treatment plants in China. *Environ Int*. 2016;92-93:11-22. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.03.024
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC diagnostic test for COVID-19. June 14, 2020. Accessed June 14, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/testing.html>